In times of an economic crisis, in which area should governments reduce their spending?

- 1. Arts
- 2. Scientific research
- 3. Parks and public gardens.

A country facing a financial crisis should <u>takes</u> various measures, alleviating the hardship that is threatening people's lives. As for governments it necessitates immediate actions to control their expenditures on costly areas, there has been much discussion revolving around whether cost decreasing should be in arts, scientific research or parks and public garden, which in this case I believe that it should be arts because not all the people can afford <u>being</u> involved in artistic activities, the budget can be allocated for research teams to overcome the crisis sooner and parks are more popular and cheap<u>er</u> locations for <u>entertainingentertainment</u>.

First of all, in a country where a considerable number of its citizens are dealing with financial issues as a result of an economic crisis, it is not reasonable to spend too much large a budget on artistic areas. Usually, the costs for preserving museums or building art galleries are enormous and even by having such facilities, still many people cannot afford to pay for tickets. It is clear that the governmental expenditures should be financially justified, where they make sure that it is a money making business which can provide more wealth for the sake of society. When these places are visited by only the upperclass of society, how such venues are going to make money and generate financial profit for the government? As a result, the less budget is allocated for these kinds of activities, the more substantial funding goes to health-related issues.

Moreover, science in many ways has helped humans overcome their obstacles, whether it-they are is medical, technological or in this case an economic crisis. Consider the pandemic of corona virus that where the world is facing one of its deepest economic challenges in of all the time. Faced with high rates of unemployment, many countries have increased their budget in scientific research in order to find a vaccine. Besides, in times of crisis, economic experts can carry out studies as to what instant measures need to be taken, such as what governments can do in cases of unemployment, budget deficit and widespread corruption. As a consequence, the more a society increases research budgets, the better it can survive through hardships.

Finally, not only it-isis it necessary for societies to take practical measures to prevent an economic disaster, people's mental status is also of great importance. Depression, anger and learned helplessness are common symptoms that may arise during an economic crises. Parks and public gardens are one of the most convenient locations that can entertain a vast majority of people. Being in nature has a healing effect on people's psyche. Besides, without paying any extra money, people can play outdoor games or communicate together with one another. Therefore, not only do many individuals benefit from such a cheerful atmosphere, it also is more economical for governments to prepare these facilities rather than promoting art-related activities.

To sum up, among all the options, I think that it is necessary to reduce government's spending in art, because in this way societies can dedicate more money to dealing with health problems, reach to economic stability faster and manage their expenditures.